

l	HEATHER E. WILLIAMS, #122664 Federal Defender		
2	DOUGLAS J. BEEVERS, # 288639 Assistant Federal Defender		
3	801 I Street, 3 rd Floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
1	Telephone: (916) 498-5700		
5	Attorney for Defendant MARIO GONZALEZ		
7	IN THE UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	FOR THE EASTERN	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 2:20-cr-00013-WBS	
11	Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE) STATUS CONFERENCE	
12	MARIO GONZALEZ.,) Date: May 4, 2020) Time: 9:00 a.m.	
14	Defendant.) Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb	
15 16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by a	and between McGregor W. Scott, United States	
17	Attorney through, Michael Redding, Assist	ant United States Attorney, attorney for Plaintiff, and	
	Heather Williams, Federal Defender, through Assistant Federal Defender Douglas Beevers, attorneys for Mario Gonzalez, that defendant requests that the status conference scheduled for May 4, 2020 be vacated and continued to June 29, 2020 at 9:00 a.m., and that time between May		
18			
9			
20 21	4, 2020 and June 29, 2020, be excluded und	der Local Code T4.	
22	Defense requires more time to inves	stigate which is more difficult due to the COVID-19	
23	pandemic, and review discovery with the de	efendant which has also proven difficult due to the	
24	COVID-19 pandemic. Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the above-requested		
25	continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into		
26	account the exercise of due diligence. The government does not object to defense counsel's		

motion to continue.

27

28

Case 2:20-cr-00013-WBS Document 20 Filed 04/30/20 Page 2 of 3

1			
1	Based upon the foregoing, the parties agree that for purposes of calculating time under		
2	the Speedy Trial Act the time period from May 4, 2020 through and including June 29, 2020, is		
3	deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(A), (B)(iv)[Local Code T4] and General		
4	Order 479 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request		
5	for the purposes of continuity of counsel and defense preparation, and the Court's finding that		
6	the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and		
7	defendant in a speedy trial.		
8	Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
9	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which		
10	a trial must commence.		
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
12	DATED: A.::120, 2020	D	
13	DATED: April 29, 2020	Respectfully submitted,	
14		HEATHER E. WILLIAMS	
15		Federal Defender	
16		Douglas Beevers	
17		DOUGLAS BEEVERS Assistant Federal Defender	
18		Attorney for MARIO GONZALEZ	
19	DATED: April 29, 2020	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney	
20		/s/Michael Redding	
21		MICHAEL REDDING	
22		Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff	
23		-	
24			

25

26

27

28

Case 2:20-cr-00013-WBS Document 20 Filed 04/30/20 Page 3 of 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
1.6

ORDER

The Court, having received, read, and considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, adopts the stipulation in its entirety as its order. The Court specifically finds that the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court finds the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial.

The Court orders a status conference on June 29, 2020, at 9:00 a.m. The Court further orders the time from May 4, 2020 up to and including June 29, 2020, excluded from computation of time within which the trial of this case must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv)[Local Code T4].

Dated: April 29, 2020

illiam Va Shubt WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26

27

28